

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee**  
**Building Committee I 17/2016 held on 17.5.2016**

(a) MAI 1 17/2016

Issue : Exemption of roof area enclosed by roof feature from GFA calculation.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to permit the exclusion of roof area enclosed by roof feature from GFA calculation.

(b) BCI 1 17/2016

Issue : Non-provision of service lane.

Decision : The committee noted that there was no existing lane in the vicinity and a lane pattern would unlikely be created in future. Having also considered the layout of the sites and buildings in the vicinity, the committee accepted the non-provision of service lane.

(c) BCI 2 17/2016

Issue : Footbridge projecting over private street.

Decision : Noting that there was no objection from relevant departments, the committee agreed to grant exemption under BO s31(1) to permit the proposed temporary footbridge to be built over private street within the site.

(d) BCI 3 17/2016

Issue : Exemption of aboveground loading/unloading area and associated driveways/ramps from GFA calculation.

Decision : Having considered the circumstances of the site, the committee had no in-principle objection to permit the exclusion of loading/unloading area and associated driveways/ramps from GFA calculation subject to AP's further justifications.

(e) BCI 4 17/2016

Issue : Exclusion of rainwater recycling plant room from GFA calculation.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee did not agree to exclude the plant room from GFA calculation.

(f) BCI 5 17/2016

Issue : Disregarding single-storey temporary structures underneath flyover for the assessment of building setback requirement.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the site, the committee agreed to disregard the single-storey temporary structures underneath flyover for the assessment of building setback requirements under PNAP APP-152.

(g) BCI 6 17/2016

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and comments from other departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.