

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee**  
**Building Committee I 34/2015 held on 22.9.2015**

(a) MAI 1 34/2015

- Issues : (i) Proposed footbridge projecting over a street.
- (ii) Exclusion of covered area for public passage from GFA calculations.
- Decisions : (i) Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria under PNAP APP-38, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposed footbridge projecting over a street subject to acceptance of the same by the ACABAS and concerned departments, and further substantiations.
- (ii) Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the exclusion of the covered area for public passage from GFA calculations.

(b) BCI 1 34/2015

- Issue : Proposed footbridge projecting over a street.
- Decision : Noting that the proposal was considered acceptable by the ACABAS and there were no adverse comments from concerned departments, the committee agreed to the proposed footbridge projecting over a street.

(c) BCI 2 34/2015

- Issues : (i) Proposed means of access to a site.
- (ii) Development intensity and building height for a site not abutting a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide.
- Decision : Noting that the site would be accessible from a specified street and an existing access road which was properly formed and paved with permission from the owner and there were no adverse comments from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the proposal under B(P)R 5 and the proposed development intensity and building height under B(P)R 19(3).

(d) BCI 3 34/2015

- Issues : GFA calculations for a special façade design.
- Decisions : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the proposed GFA calculation method.

(e) BCI 4 34/2015

Issue : Exclusion of rainwater recycling plant room from GFA calculations.

Decision : Having considered the design and the function of the proposed rainwater recycling system, the committee agreed to exclude the plant room from GFA calculations.

(f) BCI 5 34/2015

Issue : Proposed dedication for public passage in return for bonus PR and SC.

Decision : Having regard to the circumstances of the case and the advice of concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of bonus PR and SC in return for the proposed dedication.