

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee
Building Committee I 22/2015 held on 23.6.2015**

(a) MAI 1 22/2015

Issue : Flexible application of site coverage.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-132, the committee agreed to grant a modification to permit the flexible application of site coverage.

(b) MAI 2 22/2015

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and that there was no objection from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A subject to revised demarcation of the hotel area.

(c) BCI 1 22/2015

Issue : Intended material change in use from office to RCHE.

Decision : Noting that the intended material change in use was in contravention of the BO, the committee agreed that the intended material change in use to be prohibited.

(d) BCI 2 22/2015

Issue : Merging of residential units provided with green balconies and utility platforms resulting in excessive number/size of the same.

Decision : Noting that the proposal involved no enlargement of the existing green balconies and utility platforms, the committee agreed to the granting of modification under the BO to permit merging of the residential units with existing green balconies and utility platforms.

(e) BCI 3 22/2015

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and that there was no objection from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(f) BCI 4 22/2015

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and that there was no objection from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(g) BCI 5 22/2015

Issue : Development intensity and building height of a site not abutting a specified street of not less than 4.5m wide, with flexible application of site coverage.

Decision : Noting that the proposed development intensity and building height were in line with the OZP, the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP APP-132 and there were no adverse comments from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the proposed development intensity and building height under B(P)R 19(3).