Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 20/2015 held on 9.6.2015

(a) MAI 1 20/2015

Issue : Flexible application of site coverage.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP

APP-132, the committee agreed to grant a modification to permit the

flexible application of site coverage.

(b) MAI 2 20/2015

Issue : Proposed dedication of setback for public passage in return for bonus

plot ratio.

Decision : Having considered the circumstances of the case, the committee did

not accept the proposed dedication.

(c) MAI 3 20/2015

Issue : Exclusion of covered landscape area and the void above from GFA

calculations.

Decision : Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to

exclude the covered landscape area and the void above from GFA

calculations.

(d) <u>BCI 1 20/2015</u>

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : Noting that the proposal generally met the criteria set out in PNAP

APP-40 and that there was no objection from concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A subject to revised design and further substantiation.

(e) BCI 2 20/2015

Issues : (i) Area of high headroom.

(ii) Non-compliance with the building set back and building

separation requirements.

(iii) Application for flexible application of site coverage under

PNAP APP-132.

Decisions

- (i) Having studied the proposal, the committee had no objection to the proposed headroom.
- (ii) & (iii) Having studied the proposal, the committee considered that additional information was required for further consideration.

(f) BCI 3 20/2015

Issues : (i) Non-compliance with the building separation requirements on permeability at low zone.

- (ii) Structure within building set back area.
- (iii) Site coverage of greenery for a phased development.

Decisions: (i) Having regard to the planning and topographical constraints, including the provision of public transport facilities within the site, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposal.

- (ii) Having regard to the circumstances of the case and the nature of the structure, the committee agreed that the structure might be disregarded for the purpose of building set back and building separation assessment.
- (iii) Having regard to the circumstances of the case and the planning and topographical constraints in the provision of site coverage of greenery, the committee had no in-principle objection to the proposed provision of greenery areas for the phased development subject to further substantiation.

(g) BCI 4 20/2015

Issue : Merging of residential units provided with green balconies and utility

platforms resulting in excessive number/size of the same.

Decision : Noting that the proposal involved no enlargement of the existing green

balconies and utility platforms, the committee agreed to the granting of modification under the BO to permit merging of the residential units

with existing green balconies and utility platforms.

(h) BCI 5 20/2015

Issues : (i) Exclusion of voids over the living rooms of single-family

houses from GFA calculations.

(ii) Floor-to-floor height of single-family houses.

Decisions: (i) Noting that the criteria set out in Appendix A of PNAP APP-2 were met, the committee agreed to exclude the voids from GFA calculations.

(ii) Having considered the circumstances of the case and the advice of concerned departments, the committee had no objection to the proposed floor-to-floor height.