Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 18/2013 held on 7.5.2013

(a) MAI 1 18/2013

Issue : Footbridge building over, in and under a street.

Decision : Noting that the proposal was considered acceptable by the ACABAS,

the committee agreed in-principle to the proposed building over, in and under a street subject to no adverse comments from relevant

outside departments.

(b) MAI 2 18/2013

Issue : Exclusion of basement void from GFA calculation.

Decision : Having considered the proposal, the committee had no in-principle

objection to the exclusion of basement void from GFA calculation

subject to further substantiations.

(c) <u>BCI 1 18/2013</u>

Issue : Validity period of modification / exemption.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and noting that there was no

change in circumstances for the relevant items of modifications /

exemptions, the committee agreed to extend the validity period.

(d) BCI 2 18/2013

Issue : Validity period of modification / exemption.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and the genuine technical

difficulty in obtaining the consent for the commencement of the relevant building works before the expiry of the two-year time limit,

the committee agreed to extend the validity period.

(e) <u>BCI 3 18/2013</u>

Issue : Validity period of modification / exemption.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and the genuine technical

difficulty in obtaining the consent for the commencement of the relevant building works before the expiry of the two-year time limit,

the committee agreed to extend the validity period.

(f) BCI 4 18/2013

Issue : Validity period of modification / exemption.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and that the administrative

requirements from other government departments were beyond the control of the applicant, the committee agreed to extend the validity

period.

(g) <u>BCI 5 17/2013</u>

Issue : Validity period of modification / exemption.

Decision : Having considered the AP's justifications and the genuine technical

difficulty in obtaining the consent for the commencement of the relevant building works before the expiry of the two-year time limit,

the committee agreed to extend the validity period.