

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee I 40/2012 held on 16.10.2012**

(a) MAI 1 40/2012

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40, and agreed in-principle to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(b) MAI 2 40/2012

Issue : Disapproval of building amendment plans under BO s16(1)(d) for contravention of the OZP.

Decision : Having considered the case and noted the advice of relevant outside departments, the committee agreed that the plans be not disapproved under BO s16(1)(d) as the proposed amendment, which was minor in nature and immaterial in planning terms, involved no contravention of the OZP.

(c) BCI 1 40/2012

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40, and agreed in-principle to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(d) BCI 2 40/2012

Issue : Flexible application of the requirements on non-domestic site coverage under PNAP APP-132.

Decision : Noting that the proposal was in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-132, the committee agreed to the granting of modification to permit flexible application of site coverage.

(e) BCI 3 40/2012

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40, and agreed in-principle to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

(f) BCI 4 40/2012

Issue : Proposed single-family house on a site not provided with an access from a street.

Decision : Having studied the plans, the committee agreed not to accept the proposal under B(P)R 19(3) in the lack of guaranteed right of way over the proposed access, and that the BA's position under B(P)R 19(3) be reserved.

(g) BCI 5 40/2012

Issue : Non-provision of service lane.

Decision : The committee noted that there was no existing lane in the vicinity and that a lane pattern would unlikely be created in future. Having considered the layout of the sites in the vicinity, the committee accepted the proposed non-provision of service lane.