Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 28/2011 held on 12.7.2011

(a) MAI 1 28/2011

Issue : Formal appeals against disapproval of plans.

Decision : Having considered the cases, the committee agreed to contest the

appeal.

(b) <u>MAI 2 28/2011</u>

Issue : Proposed development on a site not abutting a specified street of not

less than 4.5m wide.

Decision : Having considered all relevant factors and comments of relevant

departments, the committee agreed to accept the proposed development intensity. The AP's attention was drawn to BO s14(2)

on the compliance with the lease conditions.

(c) <u>BCI 1 28/2011</u>

Issue : Exclusion of void underneath the extended terrace from GFA

calculation for the proposed domestic development

Decision : Having considered the topographical condition, the committee agreed

to the exclusion of the void underneath the extended terrace from GFA calculation. The AP's attention was drawn to BO s14(2) on the

compliance with the lease conditions.

(d) <u>BCI 2 28/2011</u>

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee, having noted the proposal was generally in compliance

with the requirements set out in PNAP 111 and TD's no objection to the non-provision of on-site transport facilities, agreed to grant hotel

concession under B(P)R 23A.

(e) <u>BCI 3 28/2011</u>

Issue : Proposed signboards projecting over an area dedicated for public

passage.

Decision : The committee, having studied the plans and noted that the proposed

signboards were in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-126 and they would be erected in accordance with the condition of the Deed of Dedication, accepted the proposed signboards

projecting over the dedicated area.

(f) BCI 4 28/2011

Issue : Proposed single-family house on a site abutting a street of less than

4.5m wide.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposed development parameters were

generally in line with the OZP. Having considered all relevant factors and comments of relevant departments, the committee raised no objection to the proposal under B(P)R 19(3). The AP's attention was drawn to BO s14(2) on the compliance with the lease conditions.

(g) <u>BCI 5 28/2011</u>

Issue : Formal appeal against disapproval of plans.

Decision : Having considered the case, the committee agreed to contest the

appeal.