# Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 16/2011 held on 19.4.2011

#### (a) MAI 1 16/2011

Issue : (i) Exclusion of voids over living rooms of duplex units from GFA calculation.

(ii) Existing ROW to be built over and under.

(iii) Residents' Recreation Facilities on different floors.

Decision : (i) The committee, having studied the design, agreed to exclude the voids from GFA calculation.

(ii) Having studied the plans and the history of the development site, the committee agreed in principle that the existing ROW to be built over and under.

(iii) Having studied the plans, the committee raised no in-principle objection to the proposal.

## (b) BCI 1 16/2011

Issue : Proposed surrender of setback for road widening in return for bonus

PR.

Decision : Having noted that the proposed surrender for road widening was

required by the Government and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments, the committee agreed in principle to the

granting of bonus PR in return for the proposed surrender.

#### (c) BCI 2 16/2011

Issue : Inclusion of ROW in site area and surrender for bonus PR and SC.

Decision : The committee did not agree that the existing ROW being part of the

existing street to be included in site area and the surrender for bonus

PR and SC.

## (d) <u>BCI 3 16/2011</u>

Issue : Proposed surrender of setbacks for road widening in return for bonus

PR and SC.

Decision : Having noted that the proposed surrender for road widening was

required by the Government and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments, the committee agreed to the granting of

bonus PR and SC in return for the proposed surrender.

#### (e) BCI 4 16/2011

Issue : Proposed signboards projecting over streets.

Decision : The committee, having noted that the proposed signboards complied

with the requirements set out in PNAP APP-126, agreed to the

granting of exemption for the signboards to project over streets.

#### (f) <u>BCI 5 16/2011</u>

Issue : Exclusion of public taxi lay-by from GFA calculation.

Decision : The committee, having studied the proposal, did not agree to exclude

the proposed public taxi lay-by from GFA calculation.

#### (g) <u>BCI 6 16/2011</u>

Issue : Non-provision of service lane.

Decision : The committee noted that there was no existing lane in the vicinity and

that a lane pattern would unlikely be created in future. Having considered the existing layout of the sites in the vicinity, the

committee accepted the non-provision of service lane.

#### (h) BCI 7 16/2011

Issue : Exclusion of voids over living rooms of duplex units from GFA

calculation.

Decision : The committee, having studied the design, agreed to the exclusion of

the voids from GFA calculation.

#### (i) BCI 8 16/2011

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance

with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments. Hence, the committee

agreed to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.

## (j) <u>BCI 9 16/2011</u>

Issue : Inclusion of lane in site area.

Decision Having noted that the proposed development was a detached building,

> the existing lane was not required under the BO and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments, the committee agreed to

the inclusion of the lane in site area in line with PNAP APP-73.

#### (k) BCI 10 16/2011

Issue Inclusion of lane in site area.

The committee, having studied the proposal, did not agree to include Decision

the existing rear lane in site area.

#### (1) BCI 11 16/2011

Issue Proposed surrender of setback for road widening in return for bonus

PR and SC.

Decision Having noted that the proposed setback was required for road

> widening by the Government, the committee agreed in principle to the granting of bonus PR and SC in return for the proposed surrender.

#### (m) BCI 12 16/2011

Determination of mean level of the streets on which the site abuts. Issue

Decision Having considered the site circumstances, the committee agreed the

mean level in line with PNAPN APP-2.