Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 13/2011 held on 29.3.2011

(a) MAI 1 13/2011

Issue : Proposed surrender of land for road widening in return for bonus PR.

Decision : Having noted that the proposed surrender for road widening was

required by the government and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments, the committee agreed to the granting of

bonus PR in return for the proposed surrender.

(b) <u>MAI 2 13/2011</u>

Issue : (i) Proposed inclusion of two portions of land separated by a private lane as one site.

(ii) Inclusion of lane into site area.

Decision : (i) The committee, having noted that the two portions of land with the private lane in between had been accepted as one site for the

existing buildings erected thereat, agreed to accept the portions

of land and lane as one site.

(ii) The committee, having noted that the lane was not a required lane under the BO and that it would not be built over, agreed to the inclusion of the lane in the site area in line with PNAP

APP-73.

(c) MAI 3 13/2011

Issue : Proposed dedication for public passages in return for bonus PR & SC.

Decision : Having noted that the setbacks were not essential from traffic

engineering point of view, the committee did not agree to the granting

of bonus PR and SC in return for the proposed dedication.

(d) MAI 4 13/2011

Issue : (i) Exclusion of voids over living rooms of duplex units from GFA calculation.

(ii) Existing ROW to be built over.

(iii) Exclusion of Residents' Recreation Facilities on different floors

from GFA calculation.

(iv) Exclusion of drop off area from GFA calculation.

Decision

- (i) Having studied the design, the committee did not agree to the exclusion of the voids from GFA calculation.
- (ii) The committee, having studied the plans and noted that the ROW was reserved onto the owners of the adjoining lots and still in use. Having considered all relevant factors, the committee did not agree that the existing ROW to be built over.
- (iii) & (iv) Having studied the plans, the committee raised no in-principle objection to the proposal.

(e) <u>BCI 1 13/2011</u>

Issue : Inclusion of existing setback and ROW into site area.

Decision : The committee, having noted that the areas in question were not

required under the BO and would not be built over, agreed to the

inclusion of the areas in the site area in line with PNAP APP-73.

(f) <u>BCI 2 13/2011</u>

Issue : Exclusion of grey water recycling plant room for a single-family house

from GFA calculation.

Decision : Noting that the plant room was excessive in size and not a communal

service, the committee did not agree to exclude the grey water

recycling plant room from GFA calculation.

(g) <u>BCI 3 13/2011</u>

Issue : Non-provision of service lane.

Decision : The committee noted that there was no existing lane in the vicinity and

that a lane pattern would unlikely be created in future. Having considered the existing layout of the sites in the vicinity, the

committee accepted the non-provision of service lane.

(h) BCI 4 13/2011

Issue : (i) Site coverage of greenery.

(ii) Exclusion of aboveground car park and loading bays from GFA

calculation.

Decision : (i) Having considered the site circumstances and constraints, the

existing public transport facilities, and the relevant justifications provided by the AP, the committee agreed in principle to the

proposal.

The committee, having considered the proposal and all relevant factors, did not agree to disregard the aboveground car park and loading/unloading area from GFA calculation.

(i) BCI 5 13/2011

Residents' Recreation Facilities with high headroom. Issue

Decision Having noted the presence of deep structural beams, the committee

accepted the proposed floor-to-floor height of the Residents'

Recreation Facilities.

(j) BCI 6 13/2011

Issue Non-provision of service lane.

Decision The committee noted that there was no existing lane in the vicinity and

> that a lane pattern would unlikely be created in future. considered the existing layout of the sites in the vicinity, the

committee accepted the non-provision of service lane.

(k) BCI 7 13/2011

Extinguishment, building over and inclusion in site area of an existing Issue

service lane.

Decision The committee noted that the lane in question was not a required lane

> under the BO and it could be included in the site area in line with the current lane policy under PNAP APP-73, and that the lane was a ROW reserved pursuant to private agreements between owners of the lots in The committee raised no objection to the proposed

> extinguishment, building over and inclusion in site area of the lane for

the development.

(1) BCI 8 13/2011

Issue Proposed private streets for site classification purpose.

Decision Having considered the site situation, the committee agreed in principle

that the areas in question formed part of specified streets for the

purpose of site classification.

(m) BCI 9 13/2011

Application for hotel concession. Issue : (i)

> Extinguishment, building over and inclusion in site area of an (ii)

existing private lane.

Decision

- (i) The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40, and agreed in principle to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)R 23A.
- (ii) The committee noted that the private lane in question existed as a land-locked yard and was not a required lane under the BO, and that it could be included in the site area in line with the current lane policy under PNAP APP-73. The committee raised no objection to the proposed extinguishment, building over and inclusion in site area of the lane for the development.

(n) <u>BCI 10 13/2011</u>

Issue : Merging of residential units with green balconies and utility platforms

resulting in excessive number/size of the same.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal involved no enlargement of the

existing green balconies and utility platforms and agreed to the granting of modification under the BO to permit merging of residential units with green balconies utility platforms. Noting the advice of relevant outside departments, the committee also agreed that the AP

should be reminded of the provision of BO s14(2).

(o) <u>BCI 11 13/2011</u>

Issue : Disapproval of building amendment plans under BO s16(1)(d).

Decision : Having considered the case and the comments of relevant outside

departments, the committee agreed that BO s16(1)(d) be not invoked

to disapprove the building amendment plans.