

**Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee  
Building Committee I 21/2010 held on 1.6.2010**

(a) BCI 1 21/2010

Issue : Inclusion of lane into site area.

Decision : The committee, having noted that the lane was not a required lane under the BO and that it would not be built over, agreed to the inclusion of the lane in site area in line with PNAP APP-73.

(b) BCI 2 21/2010

Issue : Exclusion of covered area under 1/F canopy from GFA calculation.

Decision : Having studied the plans, the committee noted that the covered area had a local function and should be included in GFA calculation. Hence the committee did not agree to the exclusion of the covered area from GFA calculation.

(c) BCI 3 21/2010

Issue : (i) Exclusion of voids over G/F shop entrance and main office lift lobby from GFA calculation.

(ii)(a) Exclusion of covered area under 1/F canopy from GFA calculation.

(ii)(b) Exclusion of voids around and above escalators at the main entrance from GFA calculation.

(iii) High headroom over lift lobby and banking hall for a commercial building.

Decision : (i) The committee, having considered the design, did not agree to the exclusion of the voids from GFA calculation.

(ii)(a) Having studied the plans, the committee noted that the covered area had a local function and should be included in GFA calculation. Hence the committee did not agree to the exclusion of the covered area from GFA calculation.

(ii)(b) The committee, having studied the design, agreed to the exclusion of the voids from GFA calculation.

(iii) Having considered the genuine design of the lift lobby and banking hall, the committee agreed to accept the proposed headroom.

(d) BCI 4 21/2010

Issue : Application for hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was generally in compliance with the criteria set out in PNAP APP-40 and that there was no objection from relevant outside departments. Hence, the committee agreed to the granting of hotel concession under B(P)Reg 23A.

(e) BCI 5 21/2010

Issue : Dedication for public passage in return for GFA exemption.

Decision : Noting that the AP would consider the provision of facilities for barrier free access, the committee agreed to defer a decision.