Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 25/2006 held on 20.6.2006

(a) BCI 1 25/2006

Issue : Exclusion of flat roof covered by green balconies from GFA

calculation.

Decision : The committee, having considered the design, agreed to exclude the

covered flat roof from GFA calculation.

(b) <u>BCI 2 25/2006</u>

Issue : High headroom for domestic flats.

Decision : The committee, having studied the plans, agreed to accept the high

headroom of the domestic flats.

(c) <u>BCI 3 25/2006</u>

Issue : Proposed change in use of portion of a shopping arcade to residential

care home for the elderly (RCHE).

Decision : The committee noted that the proposed RCHE was not provided with

natural lighting and ventilation and did not have proper fire separation with the rest of the shopping arcade. Hence the proposed conversion would not be acceptable under s25 of the BO and the committee

agreed to prohibit the proposed change in use.

(d) <u>BCI 4 25/2006</u>

Issue : Exclusion of landscape deck covered by green balconies from GFA

calculation.

Decision : The committee, having considered the design, agreed to exclude the

covered landscape deck from GFA calculation.

(e) BCI 5 25/2006

Issue : Windows of habitable rooms facing into a public park.

Decision : The committee, did not agree to allow the rectangular planes of the

windows to protrude into a public park as it could not be guaranteed

that structures would not be erected in the park.

(f) BCI 6 25/2006

Issue : Exclusion of garden deck covered by green balconies from GFA

calculation.

Decision : The committee, having considered the design, agreed to exclude the

covered garden deck from GFA calculation.

(g) <u>BCI 7 25/2006</u>

Issue : Exclusion of flat roof covered by green balconies from GFA

calculation.

Decision : The committee, having considered the design, agreed to exclude the

covered flat roof from GFA calculation.

(h) BCI 8 25/2006

Issue : Non-provision of service lane for domestic building.

Decision : Having noted that there was no existing lane pattern in the vicinity and

that the chance of creating one in future was remote, the committee

accepted the non-provision of service lane.

(i) <u>BCI 9 25/2006</u>

Issue : Non-provision of service lane for domestic building.

Decision : Having noted that there was no existing lane pattern in the vicinity and

that the chance of creating one in future was remote, the committee

accepted the non-provision of service lane.

(i) BCI 10 25/2006

Issue : (i) Non-provision of service lane for domestic building.

(ii) Exclusion of void from GFA calculation.

(iii) Proposed building served by an existing EVA with steep

gradient.

Decision : (i) The committee, having studied the plans, agreed that further

information from the AP on the desirability for the provision of a lane at the side and the servicing strategy of the proposed

building for further consideration.

- (ii) The committee, having studied the design and location of the void, agreed to exclude the void from GFA calculation.
- (iii) The committee, having noted the site situation and FSD's advice, accepted the EVA arrangement subject to the provision of enhanced fire safety measures.