# **Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee** Building Committee I 8/2006 held on 21.2.2006

#### (a) MAI 1 8/2006

Application of hotel concession for a hotel conversion proposal. Issue

Decision The committee decided that the AP should provide further information

on the design of the guestrooms, the provision of the BOH and refuge

floor/roof and the proposed transportation for the hotel guests.

### (b) MAI 2 8/2006

Proposed single-family house with excessive carparking spaces. Issue :

Decision Having noted the advice from TD on the minimum number of

> carparking spaces and PlanD's reservation on the exclusion of the extra carparking spaces from GFA calculation, the committee agreed that the carparking provision exceeding the minimum provision

should be counted for GFA.

### (c) MAI 3 8/2006

(a) Proposed dedication for pavement widening and corner splay in Issue : return for bonus PR & SC.

(b) Inclusion of the service lane into site area and building over of

the said lane.

(c) The site separated by the service lane to be regarded as one site.

Decision (d) The committee agreed to defer a decision on the proposed dedication for pavement widening and corner splay pending further clarification from TD.

(e) The committee, having considered the justification put forward by the AP, did not agree to the building over of the lane. The committee deferred a decision on the inclusion of the lane in the

site area pending further information on the status of the lane.

The committee, in line with the ruling made by the Appeal Tribunal, accepted the site was one site.

#### (d) BCI 1 8/2006

Issue Exclusion of voids over the shop areas from GFA calculation. :

Decision The committee decided that the AP should provide further information

on the design concept and the justifications for further consideration.

# (e) BCI 2 8/2006

Issue : Exclusion of voids over the shopping arcade from GFA calculation.

Decision : The committee noted that the proposal was for the conversion of an

existing cinema into shopping arcade. Having considered the design,

the committee agreed to exclude from GFA calculation.

## (f) <u>BCI 3 8/2006</u>

Issue : Excessive non-domestic site coverage in accordance with PNAP 280.

Decision : The committee noted the adverse comments from HyD and DPO's

objection on the ground of the non-compliance with ODP on setting back of the building on one of the streets. The committee agreed to exercise BA's discretion to disapprove the plans subject to confirmation by PlanD that such plans would not be acceptable on planning ground and such plans should be rejected by government.

### (g) BCI 4 8/2006

Issue : High headroom for the covered EVA/ carpark.

Decision : The committee decided that the AP should provide further information

and justification on the design. The committee also noted that the proposal would contravene the OZP hence the committee considered

that it was premature to consider the proposal.

## (h) <u>BCI 5 8/2006</u>

Issue : Classification of site which was separated from the street by a slope.

To regard the windows facing the street in question as prescribed

windows.

Decision : The committee agreed to refer the case to BAC for a decision.