# **Summary of Decisions of the Building Committee Building Committee I 45/2005 held on 22.11.2005**

# (a) MAI 1 45/2005

Issue : Exclusion of trellises over common roof and architectural features on

main roof from GFA calculation.

Decision : The committee, having considered the size and the design of the

trellises, had no in-principle objection to the trellises subject to

reduction of the width of the trellises.

The committee was of the view that the roof feature was excessive in

size. Hence, the committee did not agree to exempt the feature from

GFA calculation.

# (b) MAI 2 45/2005

Issue : Claiming of hotel concession.

Decision : The committee noted that the suite-type guestrooms were akin to

residential flats. The committee also noted that the proposed A/C system comprised of split-type A/C units. Having considered all relevant factors, the committee did not agree to grant hotel concession

under B(P)Reg 23A in respect of the proposed project.

## (c) MAI 3 45/2005

Issue : Exclusion of the recreational facilities private roof covered by the

roof features from GFA calculation.

Decision : The committee noted that the recreational facilities were excessive in

size. Hence the committee did not agree to exclude such facilities from GFA calculation. As the roof features would further protruded into a building free zone, the committee could not identify any public

interest to exempt the roof features from GFA calculation.

#### (d) <u>BCI 1 45/2005</u>

Issue : That the formal appeal against BA's decision to disapprove the

proposed A&A plans which contravened the criteria set out in the JPN

be contested.

Decision : The committee agreed to contest the appeal. Case would be referred

to BAC for decision.

#### (e) <u>BCI 2 45/2005</u>

Issue : Exclusion of the voids over entrance foyers of houses, covered

carparks and driveway from GFA calculation.

Decision : The committee requested the AP to advise if the headroom of the

voids could be reduced. The committee requested information on the following for further consideration on the exclusion of the driveway

and covered carpark from GFA calculations:-

(i) need of the provision of footpath alongside the driveway;

(ii) justifications as the sizes of the carparks; and

(iii) the extent of the access road in common ownership.

# (f) BCI 3 45/2005

Issue : Proposed conversion of the existing office into a restaurant in a

building approved under "Volume Regulation".

Decision : The committee noted that additional building works were proposed

outside the envelope of existing building. The committee agreed that the works should be reassessed in accordance with the current B(P)Reg. As the existing SC & RP had already exceeded the permissible set out in the first schedule, the proposal was not

acceptable.

## (g) <u>BCI 4 45/2005</u>

Issue : Exclusion of the void over the entrance fover from GFA calculation.

Decision : Accepting the void was a genuine design, the committee agreed to

exclude the void from GFA calculation.

#### (h) BCI 5 45/2005

Issue : Exclusion of the areas covered by canopy, wooden trellises over

clubhouse deck and architectural features on roof from GFA & SC

calculation

Decision : The committee was of the view that the size of the canopy was

excessive. Hence, it did not agree to grant the modification.

The committee, having studied the design and details, considered the trellises a genuine design feature for greenery within the common area. Hence, the committee agreed to exclude it from GFA

calculation.

The committee was of the view that the roof feature was excessive and its projecting over the private portion of main roof was unacceptable.

Therefore it did not agree to grant the modification.