## Amendments to the Code of Practice for Structural Use of Steel 2011

| Item | Clause/<br>Annex           | Current Version   |  | Amendments  |   | Remarks   |  |  |  |  |
|------|----------------------------|---|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 1    | Clause 1.1 – para. 9       | Section 5 contains particular requirements and structural dynamics including serviceability criter buildings. The section also covers durability and p  | ria for wind induced oscillation of tall   | Section 5 contains particular requirements an structural dynamics including serviceability crit buildings. The section also covers durability a   | eria for wind induced vibration of tall   | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency. |  |  |  |  |
| 2    | Clause 1.2.5 – para. 3     | Serviceability limit states correspond to limits bey are no longer met. Examples are deflection, wir vibration and durability.  |  | Serviceability limit states correspond to limits be are no longer met. Examples are deflection, w vibration and durability.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3    | Clause 2.2                 | Table 2.1 - Limit states  |  | Table 2.1 - Limit states  |   | The terms "Vibration" and "Wind induced   |  |  |  |  |
|      | - Table 2.1                | Ultimate limit states (ULS)   | Serviceability limit states (SLS)  | Ultimate limit states (ULS)   | Serviceability limit states (SLS)   | oscillation" stated in Table 2.1 are amended  |  |  |  |  |
|      | - 14010 2.1                | Strength (including general yielding, rupture,  | Deflection   | Strength (including general yielding, rupture,  | Deflection  | to "Human induced vibration" and "Wind  |  |  |  |  |
|      |                            | buckling and forming a mechanism) Stability against overturning, sliding, uplift and  | Vibration  | buckling and forming a mechanism) Stability against overturning, sliding, uplift and  | Human induced vibration   | induced vibration" respectively.  |  |  |  |  |
|      |                            | sway stability Fire resistance  | Wind induced oscillation   | sway stability Fire resistance  | Wind induced vibration  | induced violation respectively.   |  |  |  |  |
|      |                            | Brittle fracture and fracture caused by fatigue   |  | Brittle fracture and fracture caused by fatigue   | Durability  |   |  |  |  |  |
|      |                            | Note:- For cold-formed steel, excessive local deformation is to t   | De assessed under ultimate limit state.  | Note:- For cold-formed steel, excessive local deformation is to   | be assessed under ultimate limit state.   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4    | Clause 2.3.3 – para. 3     | Situations where fatigue resistance needs to be co  Where there are wind-induced oscillations fluctuations in wind loading need not be co  Structural members that support heavy vib  Members that support cranes as defined ir  Bridge structures, which will normally be defined. | due to aerodynamic instability. Normal<br>insidered.<br>ratory plant or machinery.<br>n clause 13.7. | Situations where fatigue resistance needs to be  Where there are wind-induced vibrat Normal fluctuations in wind loading nee  Structural members that support heavy Members that support cranes as define Bridge structures, which will normally be | ions due to aerodynamic instability. ed not be considered. vibratory plant or machinery. ed in clause 13.7. | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency. |  |  |  |  |
| 5    | Clause<br>2.4 – para.<br>1 | SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATES (SLS) Serviceability limit states consider service require element under normally applied loads. Exampl vibration, wind induced oscillation and durability. The   | es are deflection, human induced   | SERVICEABILITY LIMIT STATES (SLS Serviceability limit states consider service requelement under normally applied loads. Exan vibration, wind induced vibration and durability.  | virements for a structure or structural apples are deflection, human induced                                |   |  |  |  |  |

Legends : revision/addition

| 6  | Clause 5.2<br>- Table 5.1             | Note:  Exceedance of the above limit is not acceptable unless a full justification is provided.  Precamber deflection can be deduced in the deflection calculation.  Ponding should nevertheless be avoided in all cases.  Long span structures should be checked against vibration and oscillation.   | Note:  Exceedance of the above limit is not acceptable unless a full justification is provided.  Precamber deflection can be deduced in the deflection calculation.  Ponding should nevertheless be avoided in all cases.  Long span structures should be checked against vibration.   | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency hence the word "oscillation" is deleted.                                   |
|----|---------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| 7  | Clause 5.3                            | WIND-INDUCED OSCILLATION  Vibration and oscillation of a structure should be limited to avoid discomfort to users and damage to contents. For special structures, including long-span bridges, large stadium roofs and chimneys, wind tunnel model tests are recommended for their wind resistant design to meet serviceability limits.  | WIND-INDUCED VIBRATION  Vibration of a structure should be limited to avoid discomfort to users and damage to contents. For special structures, including long-span bridges, large stadium roofs and chimneys, wind tunnel model tests are recommended for their wind resistant design to meet serviceability limits.  |   |
| 8  | Clause 5.3.2                          | Serviceability limit state  The serviceability limit states on oscillation, deflection and acceleration should be checked to ensure serviceable condition for the structure.   | Serviceability limit state  The serviceability limit states on vibration, deflection and acceleration should be checked to ensure serviceable condition for the structure.   | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency.   |
| 9  | Clause 5.3.3.1                        | Natural frequencies Structural analysis programmes should be used to determine the natural frequencies of vibration of buildings and structures to mitigate excessive horizontal oscillation and vertical vibration. Empirical formulae can also be used for approximated vibration analysis of typical and regular buildings.   | Natural frequencies Structural analysis programmes should be used to determine the natural frequencies of vibration of buildings and structures to mitigate excessive norizontal and vertical vibration. Empirical formulae can also be used for approximated vibration analysis of typical and regular buildings.   | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency hence the word "oscillation" is deleted.                                   |
| 10 | Clause 5.3.5                          | Serviceability criteria for communication and broadcasting towers  Communication and broadcasting services demand minimal disruption to transmission.  The serviceability limits for communication and broadcasting towers are selected to meet the performance specifications of antennae and other transmission devices to be mounted on those towers. Excessive oscillation and vibration of towers should be avoided. For design, reference should be made to specialist literature.   | Serviceability criteria for communication and broadcasting towers  Communication and broadcasting services demand minimal disruption to transmission.  The serviceability limits for communication and broadcasting towers are selected to meet the performance specifications of antennae and other transmission devices to be mounted on those towers. Excessive vibration of towers should be avoided. For design, reference should be made to specialist literature.   |   |
| 11 | Clause<br>6.8.3 –<br>equation<br>6.14 | Member lateral-torsional and torsional buckling checks are carried out separately or alternatively by replacing $M_{\rm cx}$ in the above equation by the buckling resistance moment $M_{\rm b}$ in Equations 8.20 to 8.22. If moment equivalent factor $m_{\rm LT}$ is less than 1, both Equation 6.12 or 6.13 and Equation 6.14 are required for member resistance check. $ \frac{F_c}{A_g P_y} + \frac{M_x}{M_{cx}} + \frac{M_y}{M_{cy}} = \frac{F_c}{A_g P_y} + \frac{m_{\rm LT} [\overline{M}_X + F_c(\Delta_X + \delta_X)]}{M_b} + \frac{m_y [\overline{M}_y + F_c(\Delta_y + \delta_y)]}{M_{cy}} \le 1 $ (6.14) The equivalent uniform moment factor $m_{\rm LT}$ for beams and the moment equivalent factor $m_y$ for flexural buckling can be referred to Tables 8.4 a & b and Table 8.9. | Member lateral-torsional and torsional buckling checks are carried out separately or alternatively by replacing $M_{\rm cx}$ in the above equation by the buckling resistance moment $M_{\rm b}$ in Equations 8.20 to 8.22. If moment equivalent factor $m_{\rm LT}$ is less than 1, both Equation 6.12 or 6.13 and Equation 6.14 are required for member resistance check. $ \frac{F_{\rm c}}{A_g \rho_{\rm y}} + \frac{M_{\rm x}}{M_{\rm cx}} + \frac{M_{\rm y}}{M_{\rm cy}} = \frac{F_{\rm c}}{A_g \rho_{\rm y}} + \frac{m_{\rm LT} \left[\overline{M}_{\rm x} + F_{\rm c} \left(\Delta_{\rm x} + \delta_{\rm x}\right)\right]}{M_{\rm b}} + \frac{m_{\rm y} \left[\overline{M}_{\rm y} + F_{\rm c} \left(\Delta_{\rm y} + \delta_{\rm y}\right)\right]}{M_{\rm cy}} \le 1 $ (6.14) The equivalent uniform moment factor $m_{\rm LT}$ for beams and the moment equivalent factor $m_{\rm y}$ for flexural buckling can be referred to Tables 8.4 a & b and Table 8.9. For members in bending and sensitive to buckling, imperfection on both axes should be considered if effective length has reduction in capacity about buckling in both axes. | For second-order direct analysis, imperfections in both axes should be considered for members in bending about strong axis and sensitive to lateral torsional buckling. |

Legends : revision/addition

| 12 | Clause<br>8.2 –<br>para.1 | RESTRAINED BEAMS Restrained beams refer to beams provided with full lateral restraint to their top flanges and with full torsional restraint at their ends. In such a case, lateral-torsional buckling should not occur before plastic moment capacity.   |   |   |  | RESTRAINED BEAMS Restrained beams refer to beams provided with full lateral restraint to their top flanges and with nominal torsional restraint at their ends. In such a case, lateral-torsional buckling should not occur before plastic moment capacity. |  |                  |                  | Torsional restraint requirement of beams at<br>the ends to prevent lateral torsional buckling<br>is revised from full restraint to nominal<br>restraint |
|----|---------------------------|---|---|---|--|--|--|------------------|------------------|---|
| 13 | Clause                    | Table 8.7 - Designation of buckling curves for different section types  |   |   |  | Table 8.7 - Designation of buckling curves for differ  | Typo error on designation of buckling curves |                  |                  |   |
| 13 | Clause 8.7.6 -            | Type of section   | Maximum Axis of buckling (see note1) x-x y-y  |   | kling  | Type of section  | Maximum Axis buckli (see note1) x-x          |                  |                  | for the grade of hot-finished structural hollow   |
|    | Table 8.7                 | Hot-finished structural hollow sections with steel grade > S460 or hot-finished seamless structural hollow sections   |   | a <sub>0</sub> )  | a <sub>0</sub> )   | Hot-finished structural hollow sections with steel grade > S460 or hot-finished seamless structural hollow sections  |  | a <sub>0</sub> ) | a <sub>0</sub> ) | section less than or equal to S460 is rectified.  |
|    |                           | Hot-finished structural hollow section < grade S460   |   | a)  | a)   | Hot-finished structural hollow section ≤grade S460   |  | a)               | a)               |   |
|    |                           | Cold-formed structural hollow section of longitudinal seam weld or spiral weld  |   | c)  | c)   | Cold-formed structural hollow section of longitudinal<br>seam weld or spiral weld  |  | c)               | c)               |   |
|    |                           | Rolled I-section  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | a)<br>b)  | b)   | Rolled I-section   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | a)<br>b)         | b)<br>c)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled H-section  | > 40 mm c) d) Rolled H-section > 40 mm c) d)  |   |  |  |  |                  |                  |   |
|    |                           | Welded I- or H-section (see note 2)   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | b)<br>b)  | c)<br>d)   | Welded I- or H-section (see note 2)  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | > 40 mm b)       | c)<br>d)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled I-section with welded flange cover plates with 0.25 < U/B < 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | a)<br>b)  | b)   | Rolled I-section with welded flange cover plates with 0.25 < U/B < 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | a)<br>b)         | b)<br>c)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled H-section with welded flange cover plates with 0.25 < U/B < 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | b)<br>c)  | c)<br>d)   | Rolled H-section with welded flange cover plates with 0.25 < U/B < 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | b)<br>c)         | c)<br>d)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled I or H-section with welded flange cover plates with U/B ≥ 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | b)<br>c)  | a)<br>b)   | Rolled I or H-section with welded flange cover plates with U/B ≥ 0.80 as shown in Figure 8.4)  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | b)<br>c)         | a)<br>b)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled I or H-section with welded flange cover plates with U/B ≤ 0.25 as shown in Figure 8.4)   | es ≤ 40 mm b) c   | c)<br>d)  | Rolled I or H-section with welded flange cover plates<br>with U/B ≤ 0.25 as shown in Figure 8.4) | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm   | b)<br>b)                                     | c)<br>d)         |                  |   |
|    |                           | Welded box section (see note 3)   | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm  | b)<br>c)  | b)   | b) Welded box section (see note 3) \$\frac{5}{2}40 \text{ mm} \text{ b)} \ > 40 \text{ mm} \text{ c)} \text{ c)}   |  |                  |                  |   |
|    |                           | Round, square or flat bar   | ≤ 40 mm   | b)  | b)   | Round, square or flat bar  | ≤ 40 mm<br>> 40 mm                           | b)<br>c)         | b)<br>c)         |   |
|    |                           | Rolled angle, channel or T-section Two rolled sections laced, battened or back-to-back Compound rolled sections   | > 40 mm   | -/  | axis: c)   | Rolled angle, channel or T-section Two rolled sections laced, battened or back-to-back Compound rolled sections NOTE:  |  | Any axis: c)     |                  |   |
|    |                           | NOTE:  1. For thickness between 40mm and 50mm the value of p <sub>c</sub> may thicknesses up to 40mm and over 40mm for the relevant value c.2. For weeked in CH-sections with their flanges thermally cut by m machining, for buckling about the y-y axis, strut curve b) may strut curve c) for flanges over 40mm thick.  3. The category "welded box section" includes any box section provided that all of the longitudinal welds are near the come longitudinal stiffeners are NOT included in this category.  4. Use of buckling curves based on other recognized design comparerial factors and calibrated against Tables 8.8(a <sub>b</sub> ), (a) to Table 8.8. | For thickness between 40mm and 50mm the value of p, may thicknesses up to 40mm and over 40mm for the relevant value c. For welded i or H-sections with their flanges thermally cut by m machining, for buckling about the y-y-axis, strut curve b) may be curve c) for flanges over 40mm thick.  3. The category "welded box section" includes any box section provided that all of the longitudinal welds are near the come longitudinal siffeners are NOT included in this category.  4. Use of buckling curves based on other recognized design cod material factors and calibrated against Tables 8.8(a <sub>0</sub> ), (a) to 1 Table 8.8. | of py.  achine without subset  e used for flanges up to  n fabricated from plat ers of the cross-secti  des allowing for variat | quent edge<br>o 40mm thi<br>tes or rolle<br>tion. Box se<br>tion betwee                          | grinding or<br>ck and strut<br>d sections,<br>ctions with<br>n load and  |  |                  |                  |   |

| 14 | Clause<br>9.3.6.1.6 –<br>equation<br>9.23 | Bolts through packing When a bolt passes through packing with thickness $t_{po}$ greater than one-third of the nominal diameter $d$ , its shear capacity $P_s$ should be reduced by multiplying a reduction factor $\beta_p$ obtained from: $\beta_p = \left(\frac{9d}{8d+3t_{po}}\right) \leq 10 \tag{9.23}$ For double shear connections with packing on both sides of connecting member, $t_{po}$ should have the same thickness; otherwise, the thicker $t_{po}$ should be used. This provision does not apply to preloaded bolt (friction-type) connections when working in friction, but does apply when such bolts are designed to slip into bearing. | Bolts through packing When a bolt passes through packing with thickness $t_{\rm pa}$ greater than one-third of the nominal diameter $d$ , its shear capacity $P_{\rm 3}$ should be reduced by multiplying a reduction factor $\beta_{\rm p}$ obtained from: $\beta_{\rm p} = \left(\frac{9d}{8d+3t_{\rm pa}}\right) \leq \frac{1.0}{1.0} \tag{9.23}$ For double shear connections with packing on both sides of connecting member, $t_{\rm pa}$ should have the same thickness; otherwise, the thicker $t_{\rm pa}$ should be used. This provision does not apply to preloaded bolt (friction-type) connections when working in friction, but does apply when such bolts are designed to slip into bearing. | Typo error on the upper bound of equation 9.23 in calculating the reduction factor $\beta_p$ is rectified.  |  |  |
|----|---|--|---|---|--|--|
| 15 | Clause 10.1.3                             | <b>Reinforcement</b> Reinforcement shall comply with HKCC, and the characteristic strength, $f_{\nu_e}$ , shall not be larger than 460 N/mm². The elastic modulus shall be taken as 205 kN/mm², i.e. same as that of structural steel sections.  Different types of reinforcement may be used in the same structural member.   | <b>Reinforcement</b> Reinforcement shall comply with HKCC, and the characteristic strength, $f_{y,\frac{1}{2}}$ shall not be larger than $500 \text{ N/mm}^2$ . The elastic modulus shall be taken as 205 kN/mm $^2$ , i.e. same as that of structural steel sections. Different types of reinforcement may be used in the same structural member.  | The characteristic strength of reinforcement bar is changed to 500N/mm <sup>2</sup> to meet with the latest reinforcement bar standard CS2:2012   |  |  |
| 16 | Clause<br>10.3.2.2 -<br>Table 10.7        |  |   | <ul> <li>(a) The column "Nominal height" is deleted.</li> <li>(b) The minimum as-welded height of 25mm shank diameter shear stud is amended.</li> <li>(c) The corresponding characteristic resistances of headed shear stud for various concrete cube strengths are revised.</li> </ul> |  |  |

| 17 | Clause            | DESIGN PRINCIPLI This section aims to pr   | ES ovide guidance on fire resistant design in steel and composite   | DESIGN PRINCIPL This section aims to p                 | ES rovide guidance on fire resistant design in steel and composite   | The design strength of reinforcement bar is   |  |  |
|----|-------------------|--|---|--|--|---|--|--|
|    | 12.1              | restricting the spread of fire through the structure.  The fire resistant design method is applicable to steel and composite structures with the   |   | restricting the spread of<br>The fire resistant design | primarily with minimising the risk of structural collapse and fire through the structure.  n method is applicable to steel and composite structures with the                         | changed to 500N/mm² to meet the latest reinforcement bar standard CS2:2012                    |  |  |
|    |                   | Structural steel:  | Hot rolled steel sections with design strengths equal to or less than 460 N/mm².  | following materials:<br>Structural steel:              | Hot rolled steel sections with design strengths equal to or less than 460 N/mm².   |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  | Cold formed steel sections with design strengths equal to or less than $550\ \text{N/mm}^2$ .   |  | Cold formed steel sections with design strengths equal to or less than 550 N/mm².  |   |  |  |
|    |                   | Concrete:  | Normal weight concrete with cube strengths equal to or less than 60 $\mbox{N/mm}^2.$  | Concrete:  | Normal weight concrete with cube strengths equal to or less than $60\ \text{N/mm}^2$ .   |   |  |  |
|    |                   | Reinforcement:   | Cold worked reinforcing bars with design strengths equal to or less than 460 $\mbox{N/mm}^2.$   | Reinforcement:   | Cold worked reinforcing bars with design strengths equal to or less than 500 N/mm <sup>2</sup> .   |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  | other than those listed above, refer to specialist design natively, passive fire protection method should be adopted.   |  | other than those listed above, refer to specialist design<br>ernatively, passive fire protection method should be adopted.   |   |  |  |
| 18 | Clause            |  | _   | Table 12.2e - Stren                                    | gth reduction factors for hot rolled reinforcing bars<br>at elevated temperatures  | A table extracted from BS EN 1992-1-2:2004  |  |  |
|    | 12.1.4 -<br>Table |  |   | Temperature (°   | Strength reduction factors  1.00   | showing the strength reduction factors for hot rolled bars at elevated temperatures is added. |  |  |
|    | 12.2e             |  |   | 100 °C<br>200 °C                                       | 1.00<br>1.00   | Toned bars at elevated temperatures is added.   |  |  |
|    | (added)           |  |   | 300 °C<br>400 °C<br>500 °C                             | 1.00<br>1.00<br>0.78<br>0.47   |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  |   | 700 °C<br>800 °C                                       | 0.23<br>0.11   |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  |   | 900 °C<br>1000 °C                                      | 0.06<br>0.04   |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  |   | 1100 °C<br>(1200 °C                                    | 0.02   |   |  |  |
| 19 | Clause            | Serviceability issue   | es  | Serviceability issue                                   | es   | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are   |  |  |
|    | 13.2.5            | · ·  | ity issues shall be addressed for towers and masts: scillations of antennas, structural elements and cables.  | _  | lity issues shall be addressed for towers and masts:  brations of antennas, structural elements and cables.  | collectively read as "vibration" for  |  |  |
|    |                   | (b) Access for main  | n should be specified.  | (b) Access for main                                    | trenance of steelwork can be very difficult, therefore a high quality in should be specified.  | consistency.  |  |  |
|    |                   |  | ss for purpose (e.g. microwave alignment). for routine maintenance and inspection shall be designed to take   |  | ss for purpose (e.g. microwave alignment). for routine maintenance and inspection shall be designed to take  |   |  |  |
|    |                   | into account of the structures but should be structured but should be should | he availability and likely competence of staff trained to climb such<br>sould normally include ladders fitted with a fall arrest system and<br>s to rest and safely place work equipment. | into account of t<br>structures but s                  | the availability and likely competence of staff trained to climb such hould normally include ladders fitted with a fall arrest system and s to rest and safely place work equipment. |   |  |  |
|    |                   |  |   |  |  |   |  |  |

Legends : revision/addition

| 20 | Clause<br>13.2.6 | Design issues for steel chimneys In addition to the guidance given in clauses 13.2.1 to 13.2.5, special attention should be given to the following in the design of steel chimneys and flues:  |   | esign issues for steel chimneys addition to the guidance given in clauses 13.2.1 to 13.2.5, special attention should be ven to the following in the design of steel chimneys and flues:                        | The terms 'collectively | on" a | nd "vibration" | " are for |
|----|------------------|--|---|--|-------------------------|-------|----------------|-----------|
|    |                  | <ul> <li>(a) Wind-excited oscillations should be considered and a methods. For circular chimneys the simplified method used.</li> <li>(b) Design should be in accordance with the appropriate pr in the acceptable references in Annex A2.1.</li> <li>(c) To control buckling in the case of a thin walled chimne diameter ratio of less than 21 and diameter to thickness ultimate compressive stresses in the chimney structur principal load combinations shall be limited to a value with Table 12.2 of clause 12.1.4 which allows for re elevated temperatures. If this value exceeds 140 N/m N/mm² shall be used. The value should be reduced ratios.</li> </ul> | d in clause 13.2.8 may be rovisions of the Code and ey with effective height to rratio of less than 130, the irre arising from the three calculated in accordance educed steel strength at nm², then a value of 140 | methods. For circular chimneys the simplified method in clause 13.2.8 may be used.  Design should be in adpordance with the appropriate provisions of the Code and in the acceptable references in Annex A2.1. | consistency.            |       |                |           |

## 21 Clause Flexible slender structures are subject to oscillations caused by cross wind and along wind action. Structures with a circular cross section, such as chimneys, oscillate more 13.2.8 strongly across than along wind. The following simplified approach may be used for across wind oscillation, see also The Strouhal critical velocity $V_{crit}$ in metres per second for the chimney is to be $V_{crit} = 5 D_t f$ where f (in Hz) is the natural frequency of the chimney on its foundations. This may be calculated analytically or from the following approximate formula for the case of a regular cone: is the height of chimney (in m) is the diameter at top (in m) is the diameter at bottom (in m) is the mass per metre height at top of structural shell including lining or encasing, if any (in kg) is the mass per meter height at top of structural shell excluding lining If Vort exceeds the design wind velocity in metres per second given by the following formula $V = 40.4 (a)^{0.5}$ where q is the design wind pressure in kN/m2, severe oscillation is unlikely and no further calculation is required. If $V_{crit}$ is less than the design wind velocity, the tendency to oscillate C may be estimated by the following empirical formula: $C = 0.6 + K \left[ \frac{10 D_t^2}{W} + \frac{1.5\Delta}{D_t} \right]$ is the calculated deflection (in m) at the top of the chimney for unit distributed load of 1 kPa. is 3.5 for all welded construction, 3.0 for welded with flanged and bolted joints and 2.5 for bolted and riveted or all riveted. If C is less than 1.0, severe oscillation is unlikely. If C is between 1.0 and 1.3 the design wind pressure for the chimney should be increased by a factor C2. If

oscillations.

C is larger than 1.3 stabilizers or dampers should be provided to control the

Wind-excited oscillations of circular chimneys

## Wind-excited vibrations of circular chimneys

Flexible slender structures are subject to vibrations caused by cross wind and along wind action. Structures with a circular cross section, such as chimneys, oscillate more strongly across than along wind.

The following simplified approach may be used for across wind vibration, see also

The Strouhal critical velocity  $V_{crit}$  in metres per second for the chimney is to be determined by:

$$V_{crit} = 5 D_t f \tag{13.1}$$

where f (in Hz) is the natural frequency of the chimney on its foundations. This may be calculated analytically or from the following approximate formula for the case of a regular cone:

$$f = \frac{500(3D_b - D_t \left[\frac{W_a}{W}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}}{h^2}$$
(13.2)

(13.1)

(13.2)

is the height of chimney (in m)

is the diameter at top (in m)  $D_t$ 

is the diameter at bottom (in m)  $D_b$ 

is the mass per metre height at top of structural shell including lining or encasing, if any (in kg)

W. is the mass per meter height at top of structural shell

excluding lining (in kg)

(b) If  $V_{crit}$  exceeds the design wind velocity in metres per second given by the following formula

$$V = 40.4 (a)^{0.5}$$
(13.3)

where q is the design wind pressure in kN/m2, severe vibration is unlikely and no further calculation is required.

If  $V_{crit}$  is less than the design wind velocity, the tendency to oscillate C may be estimated by the following empirical formula:

$$C = 0.6 + K \left[ \frac{10 D_t^2}{W} + \frac{1.5\Delta}{D_t} \right]$$
 (13.4)

where

is the calculated deflection (in m) at the top of the chimney for unit distributed load of 1 kPa.

is 3.5 for all welded construction, 3.0 for welded with flanged and bolted joints and 2.5 for bolted and riveted or all riveted.

If C is less than 1.0, severe vibration is unlikely. If C is between 1.0 and 1.3 the design wind pressure for the chimney should be increased by a factor C2. If C is larger than 1.3 stabilizers or dampers should be provided to control the vibrations.

The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" consistency.

| 22 | Clause 13.5.5       | Serviceability issues The following serviceability issues shall be addressed for long span structures:  (a) Vibration from crowds. Refer to section 5 of the Code.  (b) Wind induced oscillations of roof elements and cables. Fatigue may need to be checked.  (c) Access for maintenance of roof steelwork can be very difficult therefore a high quality protective system should be specified for the steelwork.  (d) Deflection limits for long span trusses under live and wind loads depend on circumstances. A value of span/360 may be used for preliminary design in the absence of other requirements. Significantly smaller deflection limits will be required for applications such as: aircraft hanger doors and stadia opening roofs. | Serviceability issues The following serviceability issues shall be addressed for long span structures:  (a) Vibration from crowds. Refer to section 5 of the Code.  (b) Wind induced vibrations of roof elements and cables. Fatigue may need to be checked.  (c) Access for maintenance of roof steelwork can be very difficult therefore a high quality protective system should be specified for the steelwork.  (d) Deflection limits for long span trusses under live and wind loads depend on circumstances. A value of span/360 may be used for preliminary design in the absence of other requirements. Significantly smaller deflection limits will be required for applications such as: aircraft hanger doors and stadia opening roofs. | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency.   |
|----|---------------------|--|--|---|
| 23 | Paragraph<br>13.6.4 | Vibration and oscillation  Pedestrians can be adversely affected by the dynamic behaviour of footbridges. In addition to the criteria specified in section 5 on Human-Induced Vibration, the natural frequency of a footbridge shall not be less than 3 Hz. If the natural frequency of a footbridge shall not be less than 3 Hz. If the natural frequency of a footbridge is less than 3 Hz which may lead to unpleasant vibration, the maximum vertical acceleration, a <sub>v</sub> , shall be limited to an appropriate value as given in recognized design guidelines in Annex A2.3 in order to avoid unpleasant vibration.   | Vibration  Pedestrians can be adversely affected by the dynamic behaviour of footbridges. In addition to the criteria specified in section 5 on Human-Induced Vibration, the natural frequency of a footbridge shall not be less than 3 Hz. If the natural frequency of a footbridge is less than 3 Hz which may lead to unpleasant vibration, the maximum vertical acceleration, a,, shall be limited to an appropriate value as given in recognized design guidelines in Annex A2.3 in order to avoid unpleasant vibration.  | The terms "oscillation" and "vibration" are collectively read as "vibration" for consistency hence the word "oscillation" is deleted. |
| 24 | Annex<br>A1.1.3     | Chinese standards  GB/T 247 - 1997  Rules of acceptance, package, label and certification for plate, strip and wide flat in structural steel  Dimension, appearance, weight and tolerance of plate, strip and wide flat in hot rolled structural steel  GB/T 1591 - 2008  GB/T 5313 - 1985  YB 4104 - 2000  GB 50017 - 2003  GB 50205 - 2001  Rules of acceptance, package, label and certification for plate, strip and wide flat in hot rolled structural steel  High strength structural steel  Through thickness properties of steel plates  Steel plate for high rise building structure  Code for design of steel structures  Code for acceptance of construction quality of steel structures  | Chinese standards  GB/T 247 - 1997  Rules of acceptance, package, label and certification for plate, strip and wide flat in structural steel  GB/T 709 - 2006  GB/T 709 - 2006  Carbon structural steel  Dimension, appearance, weight and tolerance of plate, strip and wide flat in hot rolled structural steel  High strength structural steel  GB/T 5313 - 1985  YB 4104 - 2000  GB 50017 - 2003  GB 50205 - 2001  Code for design of steel structures  Code for acceptance of construction quality of steel structures  | The Chinese standard GB/T 700-2006 is added in the Acceptable Standard List.  |